metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Yan-Wei Song, Zhan Yu and Qian-Feng Zhang*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Anhui University of Technology, Maanshan, Anhui 243002, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: zhangqf@ahut.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.047 wR factor = 0.106 Data-to-parameter ratio = 19.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Dichloro(4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine- $\kappa^2 N$,N)bis(triphenylphosphine- κP)ruthenium(II) dichloromethane solvate

In the title ruthenium(II) mononuclear complex, $[RuCl_2-(C_{18}H_{24}N_2)_2(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2]\cdot CH_2Cl_2$, the Ru^{II} ion exhibits an octahedral coordination environment consisting of the two *trans* P atoms of triphenylphosphine, the two chelating N atoms of 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine and the two *cis* Cl atoms. The average Ru-P, Ru-N and Ru-Cl bond lengths are 2.3736 (8), 2.043 (2) and 2.4518 (8) Å, respectively.

Comment

Ruthenium(II) polypyridine complexes have been intensively investigated to date, not only to accumulate knowledge of the fundamental coordination chemistry (Juris et al., 1988), electrochemistry (Lever, 1990), photochemistry, and photophysics of these complexes (Roundhill, 1994), but also to investigate the potential applicability of these complexes to energy conversion (Ward & Barigelletti, 2001), luminescent sensors (Dernas & DeGraff, 2001), electroluminescence displays (Gao & Bard, 2000), and biotechnology (Gray & Winkler, 1996). Ruthenium(II)-phosphine complexes have also been extensively studied from the viewpoint of their high catalytic properties (Novori & Ohkuma, 1999) and potential uses as organometallic molecular wires and non-linear optical materials (Zhu et al., 1999). It is therefore rather surprising that quite a few studies have been carried out for ruthenium(II) complexes with polypyridine and phosphine ligands (Rogers et al., 2001; Batista et al., 1995). Recently, [Ru(PPh₃)₂(Me₂bipy)Cl₂] (Me₂bipy = 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine) (Adams, 2002), which has trans phosphine ligands and cis terminal Cl atoms, has been found to be a good starting material to react with acetylide to give bipyridyl-ruthenium-acetylide and vinylidene complexes with interesting photocatalytic properties (Adams & Pope, 2004). In this paper, we describe the structural characterization of the title complex as the ruthenium-bipyridyl-phosphine analogue, $[RuCl_2(R_2bipy)(PPh_3)_2]$ (R = H and Me) (Batista et al., 1995; Adams, 2002).



© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved The asymmetric unit of the crystal structure of the title compound, (I), consists of one $[RuCl_2(^{t}Bu_2bipy)(PPh_3)_2]$

Received 7 February 2006 Accepted 8 February 2006





The structure of (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. Non-solvent H atoms have been omitted. The dashed line indicates a hydrogen bond.

molecule and one dichloromethane solvent molecule. The coordination geometry around the ruthenium center is approximately octahedral; the deviation is caused by the small bite angle of the bipyridyl ligand [N1-Ru1-N2 =79.32 $(10)^{\circ}$]. The two axial triphenylphosphine ligands are slightly bent away from a linear trans geometry [P1-Ru1- $P2 = 177.47 (3)^{\circ}$]. Two Ru-P bonds are bent toward the space between two cis Cl atoms as a result of the steric bulk of the triphenylphosphine and bipyridine ligands, indicated by the average P-Ru-Cl angle [89.15 (3) $^{\circ}$] being smaller than the average P-Ru-N angle [90.97 (7)°]. The average Ru-P bond length of 2.3737 (8) Å is in agreement with those in related ruthenium(II) complexes, such as [Ru(PPh₃)₂- $(MeIm)Cl_2$ (MeIm = N-methylimidazole) [2.3741 (6) Å; Batista et al., 1995], trans-[Ru(PPh₃)₂(3.5-Me₂pz)Cl₂] (pz = pyrazole) [2.4116 (6) Å; Chantrapromma et al., 2001] and [Ru(PPh₃)₂(Me₂bipy)Cl(C=C'Bu)] [2.3697 (13) Å; Adams & Pope, 2004]. The two Ru-N bond lengths in (I) are comparable with those in [Ru(bipy)Cl₃(CH₃CN)] [2.047 (3) and 2.054 (3) Å; Hesek et al., 2000], trans-(P)-[Ru(bipy)(Me₂- Pqn_2 [PF₆]₂ (Me₂Pqn = 8-(dimethylphosphino)quinoline) [2.063 (5) and 2.078 (5) Å; Suzuki et al., 2003] and that in $[Ru(PPh_3)_2(Me_2bipy)Cl(C \equiv C'Bu)]$ opposite chlorine [2.051 (3) Å; Adams & Pope, 2004]. The two Cl atoms bind to the ruthenium in a *cis* arrangement, opposite to two N atoms of 'Bu₂bipy. The Ru–Cl bond lengths in (I) are normal. There is one intermolecular hydrogen-bond $C-H(CH_2Cl_2)\cdots$ Cl(Ru) weak interaction, C1S-H1SB-Cl1, with an H-Cl distance of 2.66 Å.

Experimental

The title complex was synthesized by a modification of a literature method (Adams, 2002). To a CH_2Cl_2 solution (25 ml) of Ru(PPh₃)₃Cl₂ (105 mg, 0.11 mmol) was added one equivalent of ¹Bu₂bipy (56 mg, 0.11 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h during which time an orange solution was obtained. The solvent was pumped off and the residue was washed with hexane. The orange solid was recrystallized from CH2Cl2/hexane (1:5) to give block-shaped crystals. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 1.43 (s, 18H, ^tBu), 6.29 (d, 6.2 Hz, 2H, bipy), 7.04–7.21 (m, 18H, Ph), 7.34 (d, 2.1 Hz, 2H, bipy), 7.44–7.57 (m, 12H, Ph), 8.45 (d, 6.2 Hz, 2H, bipy). ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): δ 24.65. MS (FAB): m/z 965 (M^+ + 1). Analysis calculated for C₅₄H₅₄Cl₂N₂P₂Ru·CH₂Cl₂: C 62.9, H 5.33, N 2.67%; found: C 62.7, H 5.31, N 2.65%.

Crystal data

$[RuCl_2(C_{18}H_{24}N_2)_2(C_{18}H_{15}P)_2]$	$D_x = 1.393 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
CH ₂ Cl ₂	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 1049.83$	Cell parameters from 5428
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	reflections
a = 11.9856 (7) Å	$\theta = 2.3-26.4^{\circ}$
b = 28.2762 (16) Å	$\mu = 0.63 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 15.1591 (9) Å	T = 100 (2) K
$\beta = 103.034 \ (1)^{\circ}$	Block, orange
V = 5005.2 (5) Å ³	$0.30 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
Z = 4	

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector	11425 independent reflections
diffractometer	8142 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.056$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$
(SADABS, Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -15 \rightarrow 8$
$T_{\min} = 0.822, \ T_{\max} = 0.941$	$k = -36 \rightarrow 36$
30208 measured reflections	$l = -18 \rightarrow 19$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0473P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.106$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.00	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
11425 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.88 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
580 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.43 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (A	∖ , °΄)

Ru1-N1	2.040 (2)	Ru1-P1	2.3744 (9)
Ru1-N2	2.046 (2)	Ru1-Cl2	2.4470 (8)
Ru1-P2	2.3730 (8)	Ru1-Cl1	2.4567 (8)
N1-Ru1-N2	79.32 (10)	P2-Ru1-Cl2	88.12 (3)
N1 - Ru1 - P2	90.72 (7)	P1-Ru1-Cl2	89.90 (3)
N2-Ru1-P2	90.09 (7)	N1-Ru1-Cl1	92.32 (7)
N1-Ru1-P1	91.43 (7)	N2-Ru1-Cl1	171.63 (7)
N2-Ru1-P1	91.63 (7)	P2-Ru1-Cl1	89.75 (3)
P2-Ru1-P1	177.47 (3)	P1-Ru1-Cl1	88.82 (3)
N1-Ru1-Cl2	173.26 (7)	Cl2-Ru1-Cl1	94.32 (3)
N2-Ru1-Cl2	94.04 (7)		

All H atoms were found in difference density maps, but were then placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.99-1.00 Å) and included in the refinement using the riding-model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H) =$ $1.2U_{eq}(C).$

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997): molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the Education Bureau of Anhui Province (grant No. 2006kj035a). QFZ acknowledges a Grant for Excellent Youth Investigator of Anhui Province (No. 06046100).

References

- Adams, C. J. (2002). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1545-1550.
- Adams, C. J. & Pope, S. J. (2004). Inorg. Chem. 43, 3492–3499.
- Batista, A. A., Polato, E. A., Queiroz, S. L., Nascimento, O. R., James, B. R. & Rettig, S. J. (1995). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 230, 111–117.
- Bruker (1998). SMART (Version 6.02a), SAINT-Plus (Version 6.02a) and SHELXTL (Version 5.1). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chantrapromma, S., Fun, H.-K., Razak, I. A., Zhang, Q.-F. & Xin, X.-Q. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, m207–m208.
- Dernas, J. N. & DeGraff, B. A. (2001). Coord. Chem. Rev. 211, 317-351.
- Gao, F. G. & Bard, A. J. (2000). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 7426-7427.

- Gray, H. B. & Winkler, J. R. (1996). Ann. Rev. Biochem. 65, 537-561.
- Hesek, D., Inoue, Y., Everitt, S. R. L., Ishida, H., Kunieda, M. & Drew, M. G. B. (2000). *Inorg. Chem.* **39**, 308–316.
- Juris, A., Balzani, V., Barigelletti, F., Campagna, S., Belser, P. & von Zelewsky, A. (1988). Coord. Chem. Rev. 84, 85–277.
- Lever, A. B. P. (1990). Inorg. Chem. 29, 1271-1285.
- Noyori, R. & Ohkuma, T. (1999). Pure Appl. Chem. 71, 1493-1501.
- Rogers, C. W., Patrick, B. O., Retting, S. J. & Wolf, M. O. (2001). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1278–1283.
- Roundhill, D. M. (1994). Photochemistry and Photophysics of Metal Complexes, ch. 5. New York: Plenum Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Suzuki, T., Kuchiyama, T., Kishi, S., Kaizaki, S., Takagi, H. D. & Kato, M. (2003). Inorg. Chem. 42, 785–795.
- Ward, M. D. & Barigelletti, F. (2001). Coord. Chem. Rev. 216-217, 127-154.

Zhu, Y., Millet, D. B., Wolf, M. O. & Rettig, S. J. (1999). Organometallics, 18, 1930–1938.